

AGENDA ITEM: 11

NORTH WALES FIRE AND RESCUE AUTHORITY AUDIT COMMITTEE

2 June 2014

INTERNAL AUDIT ANNUAL STATEMENT OF ASSURANCE 2013/14

Report by Mike Halstead, Head of Audit and Procurement Services

Purpose of Report

- 1 Under the terms of the Accounts and Audit regulations, the Authority is required annually to conduct a review of the effectiveness of its system of Internal Control. Internal Audit is an integral part of that system, and is a significant contributor to the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement, which is required to be included in the Annual Statement of Accounts.
- The CIPFA's Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the UK 2006 requires the Head of Internal Audit to provide the Audit Committee with assurance on the whole system of internal control, including the adequacy of risk management and corporate governance arrangements. It should also be noted that assurance can never be absolute. The most that Internal Audit can provide to the Audit Committee is reasonable assurance that there are no major weaknesses in the whole system of internal control.
- The report contains the assurance statement based on the work of Internal Audit during the year ended March 2014. The report is supported by Appendix A, which details the audit opinions of the assignments completed during 2013/14.

Introduction

The Role of Internal Audit

- The role of Internal Audit Services is to provide management with an objective assessment of whether systems and controls are working properly. It is a key part of the organisation's internal control system because it measures and evaluates the adequacy and effectiveness of other controls so that:
 - the Audit Committee and senior management are aware of the extent to which they can rely on the whole system; and
 - individual managers are aware of how reliable are the systems and controls for which they are responsible.
- The internal control system comprises the whole network of systems and controls established to manage the Authority, to ensure that its objectives are met. It includes financial and other controls and also arrangements for ensuring that the Authority is achieving value for money from its activities.

Definition of Internal Audit

- The definition of internal audit, as described in the Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the UK 2006, is set out below:
 - Internal Audit is an assurance function that primarily provides an independent and objective opinion to the organisation on the control environment comprising risk management, control and governance by evaluating its effectiveness in achieving the organisation's objectives. It objectively examines, evaluates and reports on the adequacy of the control environment as a contribution to the proper, economic, efficient and effective use of resources
 - Whilst Internal Audit "primarily" provides an independent and objective opinion to the organisation on the control environment, it may also undertake other, non-assurance work at the request of the organisation subject to the availability of skills and resources. This can include consultancy work; indeed, Internal Audit intrinsically delivers consultancy services when making recommendations for improvement arising from assurance work and fraud-related work.

Annual Statement of Assurance

The Head of Internal Audit is required to provide the Audit Committee with an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Authority's governance, risk management systems and internal control environment, providing details of any weaknesses that would qualify this opinion and bringing attention to any issues that are relevant to the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement. The report also provides evidence and assurance that the Internal Audit Service operates to the standards set out in the Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the UK to enable the Council to take assurance from this opinion.

Factors Affecting the Extent of Internal Audit Work

It is evident from the Summary of Internal Audit Activity (Appendix A) that all work planned for 2013/14 was undertaken, with the exception of the Staff Training and Development audit, which was replaced by an audit of the Authority's Corporate Governance arrangements. The amendment to the plan was agreed with the Deputy Chief Fire Officer as a result of issues raised by Members at Audit Committee in January 2014 regarding a number of auditable areas in Internal Audit's Needs Assessment, which have an **A** risk rating but have not been subject to a recent internal audit review. Considering the fundamental importance of good governance and the level of risk it was considered prudent to review the Authority's corporate governance arrangements during 2013/14.

THE STATEMENT OF ASSURANCE

Preamble

- 9 The Head of Internal Audit is required to provide the Audit Committee with assurance on the whole system of internal control, including the adequacy of risk management and corporate governance arrangements. It should be noted that assurance can never be absolute. The most that Internal Audit can provide to the Audit Committee is a reasonable assurance that there are no major weaknesses in the whole system of internal control.
- The matters raised in this report are only those that came to our attention during our internal audit work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required.

Overall Assurance

11 The work of Internal Audit Services has been conducted in compliance with internal auditing standards contained in the Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the United Kingdom 2006.

Audit Opinion

I am satisfied that internal audit work undertaken, together with our maintained knowledge of the organisation and its procedures allow me to draw a reasonable conclusion as to the adequacy and effectiveness of the NWFRA risk management, control and governance processes. In my opinion, for the 12 months ended 31st March 2014, NWFRA has satisfactory internal control and corporate governance processes to manage the achievement of the Authority's objectives.

Assurances

- It is my opinion that NWFRA has adequate and effective control processes to manage its achievement of the Authority's objectives for the 12 month period to 31st March 2014. In reaching this opinion the following factors were taken into consideration:
 - Internal Audit undertakes follow up action within six months of the issue of the final report in respect of audit assignments. The purpose of the follow up review is to determine the timeliness and effectiveness of the implementation of recommendations made to management. The follow up review process indicates that management have implemented or are in the process of implementing all of the recommendations made in our audit reports relating to 2012/13.
 - A schedule giving an audit opinion of the adequacy and effectiveness of control processes in respect of all the audit assignments undertaken during the year is attached at Appendix A. All audits undertaken during the year were assessed as providing positive levels of assurance.
- 14 The overall audit opinion may be used in the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement.

ANALYSIS OF WORK UNDERTAKEN AND DEFINITION OF AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS AND OPINIONS

The schedule at Appendix A details the conclusions on the assignments Internal Audit has reported upon during the year. Standard 10 of the Cipfa Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the U.K. 2006 requires that Internal Audit recommendations are prioritised to identify clearly their respective risk. The categorisation of recommendations informs the audit opinion in respect of each audit. Subsequently, a summary of each audit opinion made during the financial year informs the process whereby the Head of Audit and Procurement Services is required under the above Code to include an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Authority's internal control environment, in his formal annual report to the Audit Committee.

Risk Ranking Categories

Audit recommendations are categorised to reflect the way in which the Authority assesses and measures risk. The standard risk assessment criteria are shown below:

	Event is almost certain to occur in most circumstances	>70%	Almost Certain	A					
00	Event likely to occur in most circumstances	30-70%	Likely	В					
LIKELIHOOD	Event will possibly occur at some time	10-30%	Possible	С					
LIKI	Event unlikely and may occur at some time	1-10%	Unlikely	D					
	Event rare and may occur only in exceptional circumstances	<1%	Rare	E					
				5	4	3	2	1	
					Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High
			Service Perform	mance	Minor errors or disruption	Some disruption to activities/customers	Disruption to core activities/ customers	Significant disruption to core activities. Key targets missed	Unable to delivery core activities. Strategic aims compromised
		Reputation		Trust recoverable with little effort or cost	Trust recoverable at modest cost with resource allocation within budgets	Trust recovery demands cost authorisation beyond existing budgets	Trust recoverable at considerable cost and management attention	Trust severely damaged and full recovery questionable and costly	
			Financial Cost (£)		<£50k	£50k - £350k	£350k - £1 m	£1 m - £5 m	>£5m
						IMPAC	T		

Severity	Management Intervention
Minor	Findings which are easily addressed by line
14111101	management.
Moderate	Findings that identify non-compliance with established procedures but do not represent any major risk to the Authority, containable at service level.
Major	Important findings that need to be resolved by Principal Officers and Executive Panel may need to be informed.
Critical	Findings that are fundamental to the management of risk in the business area, representing weaknesses in control that require the immediate attention of the Executive Panel.

Audit Opinion

17 The outcome of each audit and the evaluation of the adequacy of the internal control environment is based on the number of recommendations made and their risk rating. This process informs the Audit Opinion and the following definitions have been produced to enable auditors to make appropriate assessments in respect of the Audit Opinion contained at the end of each audit report.

DEFINITIONS OF ASSURANCE RATINGS

LEVELS OF	CONTROLS	RISKS				
ASSURANCE						
HIGH ASSURANCE	Key controls are in place to ensure the achievement of service objectives and to protect the Authority against significant foreseeable risks and are applied consistently and effectively. No significant or material errors were found.	Low priority actions required which are easily managed.				
SATISFACTORY ASSURANCE	Key controls exist to enable the achievement of service objectives and to mitigate against significant foreseeable risks. However, there was some inconsistency in	Some opportunities still exist to mitigate further against potential risks. Some risk of loss, fraud,				
	application and opportunities still exist to mitigate further against potential risks.	impropriety or damage to reputation.				
LIMITED ASSURANCE	Key controls are in place and to varying degrees are complied with but there are gaps in the process which leave the service exposed to risks. Objectives are not being met or are being met without achieving value for money.	There is a need to introduce additional controls and/or improve compliance with existing ones, to reduce the risk exposure to the Authority. A high risk of loss, fraud, impropriety or damage to reputation.				
NO ASSURANCE	Key controls are considered to be insufficient with the absence of at least one critical control mechanism. There is also a need to improve compliance with existing controls and errors and omissions have been detected.	Key controls do not exist and objectives are not met or are being met without achieving VFM. The Authority is exposed to very significant risk, which could lead to major financial loss, reputational risk of embarrassment or failure to achieve key service objectives.				

Common Weaknesses

There is no common factor that links the weaknesses identified as part of our internal work for 2013/14 and there are no particular issues or concerns relevant to the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement.

OTHER MATTERS

Qualifications to the Audit Opinion

- In giving an audit opinion, it should be noted that assurance can never be absolute. The most that the Internal Audit Service can provide to the Audit Committee is a reasonable assurance based upon the work undertaken in that year, that there are no major weaknesses other than those identified.
- Qualifications to the Audit Opinion are set out in paragraphs 9 & 10. In addition, in arriving at our opinion, we have taken into account:
 - the results of all audits undertaken during the year ended 31st March 2014;
 - the results of follow-up action taken in respect of audits from previous years;
 - whether any High or Medium category of recommendations have not been accepted by management and the consequent risks;
 - the affects of any material changes in the Authority's objectives or activities;
 - matters arising from previous reports to the Executive Panel or Audit Committee;
 - whether or not any limitations have been placed on the scope of internal audit;
 - the resource constraints placed upon Internal Audit that have impinged on the Service's ability to meet the full internal audit needs of the Authority;
 - what proportion of the Authority's internal audit needs have been covered to date.

Acceptance of Recommendations

21 Management have accepted 100% of the recommendations made by the Internal Audit Service during the year. There are no Critical or Major category recommendations that we consider are not receiving adequate management attention.

Reliance Placed Upon Work by Other Assurance Bodies

Internal Audit places some reliance on work undertaken by KPMG, the Authority's external auditors, particularly in relation to risk management and corporate governance arrangements in drafting the annual reports and forming our annual opinion of the period.

Issues Judged Relevant to the Statement on Internal Control

23 Based on the work of the Internal Audit Section during 2013/14 there are no significant areas of weakness that warrant attention in the Annual Governance Statement for 2013/14.

Compliance with Internal Audit Standards

- Internal Audit employ a risk-based approach to determining the audit needs of the authority at the start of the year and use a risk based methodology in planning and conducting our audit assignments. The work of Internal Audit Services has been performed in compliance with the CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the United Kingdom 2006.
- Internal Audit is subject to annual review by External Audit to determine compliance with the auditing standards contained in the Code of Practice.

- The Head of Internal Audit has made provision for internal quality reviews to be undertaken periodically by experienced members of the internal audit function to appraise:
 - compliance with the organisational and operational standards referred to in paragraph 25,
 - the quality of audit work,
 - the quality of supervision,
 - compliance with the local audit manual,
 - the achievement of performance indicators.
- The review conducted in respect of the work performed during 2013/14 has demonstrated compliance with both internal and external standards.

Internal Quality Assurance Programmes

- In order to ensure the quality of the work performed by Internal Audit, a range of quality measures are in place which include:
 - supervision of staff conducting audit work;
 - documented review of all files of working papers and reports by managers;
 - an annual appraisal of audit staff resulting in personal development and training action plans;
 - the maintenance of the Section's Internal Audit Manual.

RECOMMENDATION

The Internal Audit Annual Statement of Assurance be accepted and utilised to contribute to the evidence content of the 2013/14 Annual Governance Statement.

NORTH WALES FIRE AND RESCUE AUTHORITY

Internal Audit Section – 2013/14 Annual Summary of Recommendations and Audit Opinions

	Auditable Area	Audit Findings & Key Messages	Critical	Major	Modera- te	Minor	Total	Agreed by Mgmt	Audit Opinion
1	Retained Stations (Conwy & South Gwynedd)	The audit confirmed that generally there are a number of areas within Conwy & South Gwynedd's Retained Fire Stations and associated systems that are working well with key controls in place. Retained firefighters are paid accurately, premises and appliance inventory records are compiled & regularly checked and vehicle log books are maintained. However, some areas of weakness were identified, which has resulted in 6 recommendations being made to improve the level of internal control. All of the recommendations are considered minor and do not represent any major risk to the Authority. The weaknesses identified are concerned primarily with minor errors in the completion of FIN8s, the County Response Managers not performing annual audits of the PIB for Stations under their command, the 6 monthly AIB checks not being undertaken by the CRM at Conwy's Stations and the continuing issues regarding the submission of Welfare Fund audit certificates to the Finance Section.	0	0	0	6	6	6	High Assurance
2	NWFRS Income	The audit identified that generally the procedures for the collection, receipt and banking of income due to the Authority were operating effectively with key controls in place. However, some relatively minor areas of weakness were identified regarding the requirement for the Income scale of charges to be formally approved and certified by the Deputy Chief Fire Officer on an annual basis and instances were identified of income received at NWFRA HQ, not being appropriately recorded on a daily remittance sheet, which weakens the level of internal control and the audit trail.	0	0	0	2	2	2	High Assurance

NORTH WALES FIRE AND RESCUE AUTHORITY

Internal Audit Section – 2013/14 Annual Summary of Recommendations and Audit Opinions

	Auditable Area	Audit Findings & Key Messages	Critical	Major	Modera- te	Minor	Total	Agreed by Mgmt	Audit Opinion
3	Corporate Governance	The Internal Audit review concluded that corporate governance within the Authority is well managed and in line with the CIPFA / SOLACE framework with many examples of good governance arrangements in place. However, the review highlighted some areas of weakness where controls were not operating in accordance with the Framework, which resulted in 11 recommendations being made. These are particularly in relation to the fact that the Authority does not have a Risk Management Policy and a Corporate Risk Register and the Authority needs to establish an Officers' Code of Conduct and Officers' Register of Personal Interests.	0	0	4	7	11	11	High Assurance
4	National Fraud Initiative (NFI)	NFI is a comprehensive and thorough 'data matching' exercise organised by the Audit Commission in partnership with the Wales Audit Office (WAO). There were no issues arising from the investigations, which provides a level of assurance that the systems of internal control are working well and that frauds and irregularities are being minimized. The exercise also assists to strengthen antifraud & corruption arrangements & instill an anti-fraud culture.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	High Assurance
		Totals	0	0	4	15	19	20	
								High	4
								Satisfactory Limited	0
								No	0
1								Assurance	